



# ACPUA'S 2030 UNIVERSITY AND COLLEGE **CERTIFICATION PROGRAMME**

Framework Document (v 3.0)

Approved by the Evaluation, Certification and Accreditation Commission (CECA) of the Agency for Quality and University Prospects of Aragon (ACPUA) in session of 24 June 2022.

ACPUA - University Quality and Foresight Agency of Aragon

Avda. de Ranillas, nº 5D, 1ª Planta. 50018 Zaragoza Telephone: 976 713386 - acpua@aragon.es

enqa. eqar/// 🖗 INQAAHE





1. INTRODUCTION       3         1.1. Legal framework       3         1.2. Modifications made after the pilot experience       4         1.3. Objective of the document       6
<ul> <li>2. ACPUA CERTIFICATION PROGRAMME 2030: ALCAEUS</li> <li>2.1. Objectives</li> <li>6</li> <li>2.2. Periodicity, scope and monitoring</li> <li>6</li> <li>2.3. Evaluation bodies</li> <li>6</li> <li>2.4. Cost of evaluation</li> </ul>
3. EVALUATION PROTOCOL.       8         3.1. Evaluation criteria       8         Dimension 1: Strategy, partnerships and recognition       8         Dimension 2: Transparency and accountability       10         Dimension 3: Internal Quality Assurance System       11         Dimension 4: Teaching       12         Dimension 5: Personne       114         Dimension 6: Funding and Resources       16         3.2. Qualitative assessment of the criteria       17         3.3. Certification Scoreboard       18         3.4. Certification levels for centres       22         3.5. Complement to certification: SDGs with special commitment       22
4. EVALUATION PROCESS.224.1. Requirements for certification.224.2. Application for certification.224.3. Documentation on which the assessment is based.224.4. Appointment and challenge of the panel of evaluators234.5. Evaluation234.6. Proposal for a report234.7. Allegations stage.234.8. Final report244.9. Resolution period.244.10. Publication of reports.244.11. Meta-evaluation of the process.244.12. Complaints and appeals24
5. MONITORING OF CERTIFICATION24
6. RENEWAL OF CERTIFICATION25
Document revision history25
ANNEX I: Self-report on the implementation of the IACS26

enga. eqar/// WingAAHE



# 1. INTRODUCTION

On 25 September 2015 at the United Nations headquarters in New York, 193 countries adopted a multilateral and global agreement, called Agenda 2030. This agreement stems from the will of all countries to move towards sustainable and inclusive development.

The 2030 Agenda sets out a new vision of development that integrates economic, social and environmental concerns through 17 goals and 169 targets with a set duration of 15 years, until 2030.

One of the most significant features of this 2030 Agenda is the participation of all actors in shaping it and the need to generate partnerships to advance prosperity. A long-term vision is more necessary than ever, placing people at the centre of actions and decisions.

The European Commission in its Reflection Paper (30 January 2019) under the title "Towards a Sustainable Europe by 2030" publishes, among other things, the horizontal instruments of the transition to sustainability.

The paper sets out as a mandate for all members of the Commission on education, among other issues, the following: "Educational institutions at all levels should be encouraged to embrace the SDGs as an orientation for their activities and should be supported to become places where sustainability skills are not only taught but also actively practised. Reform and modernisation of education systems, green schools and universities to develop new skills for the digital economy must be addressed.

# 1.1. Marco Legal

Title VI of Law 5/2005, of 14 June, on the Organisation of the University System of Aragon, referring to the Agency for University Quality and Prospective Studies of Aragon, includes in its articles (article 84) the following, among others, as aims of the Agency:

- 1. The University Quality and Prospective Agency of Aragon is an instrument of the Autonomous Community of Aragon to promote the improvement of the quality of the university system of Aragon, favouring its relationship with the business and labour world and society as a whole.
- 2. Through its activity, the Agency shall promote and disseminate a culture of quality in the university sphere and in higher education in general in Aragon, which will enrich reflection on the role of the university in relation to society and encourage exchanges of experiences in this field with other university systems.

The Statutes of the University Quality and Prospective Studies Agency of Aragon include as functions of the Commission of Evaluation, Certification and Accreditation (CECA) of the ACPUA, among others: "To elaborate the proposals of protocols of general methodologies of evaluation, certification and accreditation and the modifications that are progressively considered to be necessary".

ACPUA - University Quality and Foresight Agency of Aragon





The Governing Board shall approve these proposals. These proposals shall determine the criteria or elements of technical judgement on which the evaluation, certification and accreditation decisions made within the framework of national and regional legislation shall be based".

The development of this protocol has taken into account the assessments contained in the "ENQA Agency Review" report corresponding to the second evaluation of ACPUA, dated 24 June 2021, specifically those relating to ESG 3.1, in which the panel congratulates ACPUA for developing ALCAEUS as a pioneering evaluation scheme focused on the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

At the level of national regulations, reference is made to Royal Decree 822/2021, of 28 September, which establishes the organisation of university education and the procedure for quality assurance, in which the SDGs are included as guiding principles in the design of the curricula of official university degrees, in article 4.2.

# 1.2. Modificaciones realizadas tras la experiencia piloto

The ALCAEUS Programme was launched during the academic year 2020/21 on a pilot basis and was open to voluntary application by those centres of the University System of Aragon that had obtained institutional accreditation during the academic year 2018/2019.

To this end, the announcement of 9 October 2020 was published by the Director of the Agency for Quality and University Foresight of Aragon, by which the centres of the Aragonese University System were called to participate in the pilot evaluation for the certification of their degree of commitment to the Sustainable Development Goals 2030 (ALCAEUS programme).

The pilot experience was carried out with two centres, and after the certification of both centres, a meta-evaluation process was carried out through consultation with the experts who formed part of the panels, the Subcommittee for the Evaluation of Centres, the management teams of the certified centres and the University's Vice-Rectorate for Academic Policy.

As a result of this meta-evaluation, some modifications have been made to the evaluation protocol, which in any case take into account the results of the initial experience and the opinion of the participants in the process (evaluated and evaluators).

The following points have been modified from the initial version of the ALCAEUS protocol.

1.- A general consideration for the development of the protocol is based on the assessments that appear in the "ENQA Agency Review" report corresponding to the second evaluation of ACPUA, dated 24 June 2021, specifically those relating to ESG 3.1, in which the panel congratulates ACPUA for developing ALCAEUS as a pioneering evaluation scheme focused on the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

2.- In view of the most recent national regulatory changes, reference is made to Royal Decree 822/2021, of 28 September, which establishes the organisation of university education and the procedure for quality assurance. Please note

ACPUA - University Quality and Foresight Agency of Aragon

Avda. de Ranillas, nº 5D, 1ª Planta. 50018 Zaragoza Telephone: 976 713386 - <u>acpua@aragon.es</u>

enqa. eqar/// 🖗 INQAAHE



Article 4 includes the SDGs as Guiding Principles in the design of curricula for official university degrees.

3.- A complement to the certification is added, allowing the centre to indicate, in the self-report, its special commitment to a maximum of three SDGs that will be verified by ACPUA.

4.- The ALCAEUS programme includes voluntary monitoring in the period between certifications, which will consist of the submission by the centres of a self-report that includes the progress, improvements or changes introduced in the centre that could mean a change in the level of certification. This report will be reviewed by the ACPUA evaluation commission which, in the event of detecting significant changes, may suggest that the centre submit a new application for certification in order to raise the level of the certificate.

5.- The composition of the evaluation panel is as follows: at least one academic profile, one student, one professional profile or stakeholder. A member of the panel will act as chairman and a technician from the ACPUA will act as secretary with voice but without vote. The selection will be based on the requirements defined in the "ACPUA Evaluator Selection Procedure" published on the Agency's website, taking into account the specialisation in SDGs and always having an international profile.

6. The protocol focuses on the certification of faculties and schools (research centres are not covered by this protocol).

7. Dimension 4 has been completely rethought and simplified. Both experts and institutions pointed out the difficulty of assessing the dimension because of the level of detail proposed in the assessment guidelines. In the current approach, the existence of a teaching strategy designed to focus the learning process on the student ("student centred learning") in a way that ensures that the learning outcomes (knowledge, skills and competences) related to the SDGs defined by the school are achieved.

8. The percentages assigned to some criteria/guidelines have been modified in order to adapt their weights to the reality of the centres.

Finally, it includes the condition for applying for the programme that institutions must be accredited by an EQAR-registered Quality Assurance Agency through a procedure aligned with the ESG (European Standards and Guidelines). This condition has been checked with the European EQAR (European Quality Assurance Register for Higher Education) which gave its approval to this requirement on 30 September 2022 in the process of substantial modification carried out by ACPUA to include the ALCAEUS programme as an evaluation activity under the ESG.





# 1.3. Objetivo del documento

The purpose of this document is to present to the agents involved in the process (universities, students, teachers, assessment committees, educational administrations, employers and other interested groups), both the procedure to be followed for the 2030 certification of centres and/or universities, as well as the aspects that will be subject to assessment.

# 2. ACPUA CERTIFICATION PROGRAMME 2030: ALCAEUS

# 2.1. Objetivos

The ACPUA's ALCAEUS programme provides an evaluation framework to assess the degree of commitment of centres and/or universities at national and international level to the SDGs.

The main objective of the 2030 certification of centres and/or universities awarded by the ALCAEUS programme is to give visibility, by obtaining certification, to the efforts that institutions are making to comply with the SDGs set out in the United Nations 2030 Agenda. The process involves the award of a seal that establishes four levels of certification based on the score obtained in the evaluation.

In addition, if requested by the institution, the certificate will include a reference to the SDGs to which the institution is particularly committed.

# 2.2. Periodicidad, alcance y seguimiento.

The framework for the 2030 certification of centres and/or universities shall be agreed between the ACPUA and the assessed entity and shall detail its scope and the centres it covers. Following the assessment of the centre or institution, the ACPUA will issue a certificate detailing the certification framework, including the scope, the date of issue, the score obtained and, therefore, the level of the seal achieved and the period of validity of the certificate, and, where appropriate, the corresponding mention of the SDGs to which the centre is particularly committed.

The 2030 certification will last for 6 years and will be renewable for successive periods of the same duration. The ACPUA will keep a constantly updated register of the centres certified with the 2030 seal, which will be public and accessible through its website.

The ALCAEUS programme includes voluntary monitoring in the period between certifications, consisting of the submission by the centres of a self-report (APPENDIX I) that includes the modifications, progress, improvements or changes related to the evaluation of the seal, which will be reviewed by the ACPUA's Subcommittee for the Evaluation of Centres (SEC). This review may result in the modification of the level of the seal.

# 2.3. Órganos de evaluación

The ACPUA will carry out the evaluation of the application for certification through the ACPUA SEC and an evaluation panel of experts in the field proposed by the Evaluation Commission,

ACPUA - University Quality and Foresight Agency of Aragon

Avda. de Ranillas, nº 5D, 1ª Planta. 50018 Zaragoza Telephone: 976 713386 - <u>acpua@aragon.es</u>





Certification and Accreditation (CECA) and appointed by the Director of the Agency.

The panel will be made up of experts in Agenda 2030/sustainability, including at least: an academic profile, a student profile, a professional profile or *stakeholder*. From among the members of the panel, CECA will select the person who will chair the panel and the secretary of the panel will be a person from the technical area of the ACPUA, who will have a voice but no vote in the deliberations of the panel.

The requirements defined in the "ACPUA Evaluator Selection Procedure" published on the Agency's website will be followed, taking into account the specialisation in SDGs and having an international profile in every panel.

The rules of procedure and the composition of the Evaluation Panel will be published on the ACPUA website. Both the members of the SEC and the panel of evaluators shall sign the Agency's code of ethics.

# 2.4. Coste de la evaluación.

For the centres and universities of the Aragonese University System, the cost of the programme will be assumed by the Government of Aragon.

The cost of the assessment per centre will be subject to the current ACPUA fees and charges document approved by the ACPUA Governing Board.

In any case, the detailed cost of the process will be established in the signature of the compulsory agreement. The centre shall be responsible for the travel and living expenses of the experts on the visiting panel.

enqa. eqar/// 🖗 INQAAHE



# 3. EVALUATION PROTOCOL

# 3.1. Criterios de evaluación

# Dimension 1: Strategy, partnerships and recognition

# Criterion 1.1: Commitment and strategy of the centre

### Standard:

"The institution is committed to the SDGs. The school defines actions, which it reviews periodically, for the incorporation of the SDGs in its governance, involving the different stakeholders".

### **Guidelines:**

- The school's commitment to the SDGs is reflected in the school's strategic documents.
- The school's governance team defines the actions to develop the strategy (action plan) ensuring the participation of the different stakeholders, including the student body.
- The governing team and the centre's different stakeholders periodically review compliance with the actions defined.

### Evidence:

- Strategic documents of the institution (strategic plan, quality policy, specific document of commitment to the SDGs, etc.).
- Action plan of the centre.
- Evidence of participation of all stakeholders.
- Evidence of the review of the action plan.
- Commitment to the SDGs on the university website, email footers, international profiles, promotional material.

### Visit:

- Confirm commitment to the SDGs with the school's management team.
- Check with the different stakeholders on their participation in the definition of the strategy and their awareness of it.
- To verify the assessment of the different stakeholders on the level of effective compliance with the SDG engagement strategy.

enqa. eqar/// 🖗 INQAAHE



### Criterion 1.2: Partnerships

### Standard:

"The centre establishes strategic alliances with external actors (higher education institutions, research institutions, non-profit organisations, companies, etc.) at local, regional, national or international level that are useful and effective in supporting the integration of the SDGs at the centre.

### **Guidelines:**

- The establishment of strategic alliances is a commitment in the centre's strategic documents.
- The centre, through its consolidated partnerships, has established concrete actions. For example:
  - Joint projects funded,
  - Working groups or expert groups,
  - Organisation of local, regional, national or international meetings on SDGs,
  - o Volunteering opportunities for teachers and administrative and service staff,
  - Volunteering opportunities for students,
  - o Others.
- The centre monitors the concrete actions and evaluates their impact.

### Evidence:

- Strategic documents of the institution (strategic plan, quality policy, specific document of commitment to the SDGs, etc.).
- Documentation relating to the establishment and development of the concrete actions.
- Evidence of monitoring and evaluation of the impact of concrete actions.

### Visit:

- Confirm with the centre's management team and partner entities the commitment to strategic alliances, their monitoring and impact.
- Check with all groups and stakeholders (internal and external) the knowledge they have about the existence of the actions carried out through the alliance.
- To verify the impact of these actions with the participants.





### Criterion 1.3: Internal and external recognition

### Standard:

"The actions carried out in the implementation of the SDGs are distinguished through internal and external recognition (programmes, specific actions, certifications, awards, projects, accreditations, distinctions, etc.) internal and/or external".

### **Guidelines:**

- Staff, students and/or the institution (or university) have obtained internal recognition through an SDG-related good practice recognition programme or action implemented by the institution (or university).
- Staff, students and/or institution have obtained external recognition through a certificate/award/project, distinction or accreditation.

### Evidence:

- Documentary accreditation of internal recognition programmes or actions and certificates.
- Accreditation of participation in externally recognised programmes or actions and certificates.
- External evaluation reports.

### Visit:

• If awards exist, check their visibility and degree of dissemination among the educational community.

# Dimension 2: Transparency and accountability

### Criterion 2.1: Public information

### Standard:

"The centre publishes accurate, comprehensive, up-to-date and accessible information on the implementation of the SDGs.

Guidelines:

- The school is concerned about disseminating information related to the SDGs using the usual channels of information dissemination (PR, website, publicity material, etc.).
- Information on the implementation of the SDGs is easily accessible.

### Evidence:

• Different documents/materials published through different channels.





# Visit:

• Check with different stakeholders on the awareness and usefulness of public information related to the SDGs.

# Dimension 3: Internal Quality Assurance System

# Criterion 3.1: Quality processes and strategy

# Standard:

"The school's Internal Quality Assurance System integrates the vision of the SDGs as a central commitment".

# Guidelines:

- The quality policy includes the commitment to the SDGs.
- Internal Quality Assurance System objectives linked to the SDGs are established.
- The IACS collects and analyses data to establish the degree of compliance with objectives and the establishment of improvement plans, as well as accountability.
- The IQAS collects and analyses data on the satisfaction of the different stakeholders (students, teaching staff, graduates, employers, administrative and services staff, society, etc.) with the degree of compliance with the SDGs set out in the centre's strategic plan.

### Evidence:

- Documentation and evidence generated by the IACS.
- Results of satisfaction surveys.
- Programme monitoring reports.
- Action plan monitoring reports.

### Visit:

- Check the commitment to the SDGs among the different groups and stakeholders involved in the IACS.
- Monitoring of objectives and establishment of improvement plans.

enqa. eqar/// 🖗 INQAAHE



### Criterion 3.2: Personnel responsible for the Internal Quality Assurance System

### Standard:

"The staff responsible for the Internal Quality Assurance System is trained in the integration of the SDGs in their work development".

### Guidelines:

- Knowledge of the SDGs is valued in job descriptions (in the curricula vitae of the persons responsible) and in the selection processes of the staff responsible for the IACS.
- There is a training and development plan, a training offer or specific training actions on SDGs for the staff responsible for the IACS.
- Professional appraisal processes (performance monitoring) take into account SDG competencies.

### Evidence:

- The curricula vitae of staff.
- Documents relating to recruitment processes.
- List of posts.
- Training and development plans, training offer and specific training actions on SDGs.
- Performance appraisal procedures (Performance monitoring reports).

### <u>Visit:</u>

- Check with IACS managers on the development of ODS competencies within their work place.
- Collect the IQAS managers' assessment of the importance given by the institution to the SDG competencies associated with their position.
- Collect feedback from IQAS managers on the SDG competence development opportunities offered by the school.

# Dimension 4: Teaching

### Criterion 4.1: Teaching strategy

### Standard:

The school has implemented a teaching strategy aimed at equipping students with the knowledge, skills and competences to understand and address the SDGs.

### Guidelines:

• The teaching strategy defined by the school is set out in a public document.





- The school has established concrete actions (aligned with the teaching strategy).
- The centre monitors the actions defined, analysing their impact.

### Evidence:

- Document(s) where the teaching strategy and/or the concrete actions established are stated.
- Document(s) containing the monitoring of the actions and the assessment of their impact.

### Visit:

• Check the degree of implementation of the actions defined with the different interest groups (teaching staff/students/graduates).

# Criterion 4.2: Student centred learning. Learning outcomes

### Standard:

Curricula integrate learning outcomes (knowledge, competences and skills) related to the SDGs into their design by ensuring that teaching methodologies enable student-centred learning.

### Guidelines:

- Curricula incorporate learning outcomes related to the SDGs.
- The teaching methodologies used to achieve SDG-related learning outcomes ensure student-centred learning.
- Degrees develop assessment activities that allow students to provide *feedback* on their learning process in relation to the SDGs.

### Evidence:

- Public information on the degrees offered by the centre (website, social media, promotional information on degrees, etc.).
- Evidence relating to the teaching methodologies used.
- Evidence relating to evaluation activities.

### Visit:

- Check with faculty and students the relative knowledge of learning outcomes related to the SDGs within their degree programme.
- Confirm with teaching staff and students the use of active teaching methodologies and assessment systems that allow feedback and make students the protagonist of their learning ("student centred learning").

enqa. eqar/// 🖗 INQAAHE



# Dimension 5: Personnel

### Criterion 5.1: Responsible for training provision

### Standard:

"Those responsible for the centre's training offer are trained in the integration of the SDGs in their professional development".

### Guidelines:

- In the job description of the job requirements for those responsible for the centre's training provision, knowledge of the SDGs is required.
- There is a training and development plan, a training offer or specific training actions identified in the SDGs for those responsible for the school's training offer.
- Professional appraisal processes (performance monitoring) take into account SDG competencies.

### Evidence:

- List of posts.
- The curricula vitae of staff.
- Documents relating to recruitment processes.
- Training and development plans, training offer and specific training actions on SDGs.
- Performance appraisal procedures (Performance monitoring reports).

### Visit:

- Check with those in charge of training provision on the development of SDG competences.
- Collect the assessment of those responsible for the training offer on the importance given by the centre to the SDG competences associated with their position.
- Collect the assessment of those responsible for the training offer on the SDG competence development opportunities offered by the centre.

# Criterion 5.2: Teachers

### Standard:

"The school's teaching staff is trained in the integration of the SDGs in the development of teaching activities".

# Guidelines:

- Knowledge of the SDGs is valued in job descriptions and teacher selection processes.
- There is a training and development plan, a training offer or training activities





ODS for teachers.

• Professional appraisal processes (performance monitoring) take into account SDG competencies.

### Evidence:

- List of posts.
- CVs of staff.
- Documents relating to recruitment processes.
- Training and development plans, training offer and specific training actions on SDGs.
- Performance appraisal procedures (Performance monitoring reports).

### Visit:

- Check with teachers on the development of SDG competences.
- Collect teachers' assessment of the importance given by the school to SDG competences in their profiles.
- Collect teachers' assessment of the SDG competence development opportunities offered by the school.
- Collect feedback from students and graduates on teachers' SDG competences.

# Criterion 5.3: Administration and services staff

# Standard:

"The centre's administrative and service staff are trained in the integration of the SDGs in their work development".

# Guidelines:

- Knowledge of the SDGs is required in job descriptions and selection processes for administrative and service staff.
- There is a training and development plan, a training offer or specific training actions on SDGs for administration and services staff.
- Professional appraisal processes (performance monitoring) take into account SDG competencies.

# Evidence:

- List of posts.
- CVs of staff.
- Documents relating to recruitment processes.
- Training and development plans, training offer and specific training actions on SDGs.
- Performance appraisal procedures (Performance monitoring reports).





# Visit:

- Check with administrative and service staff on the development of ODS competences.
- Collect the assessment of administrative and service staff on the importance given by the school to SDG competences in their profiles.
- Collect feedback from administrative and service staff on the SDG competence development opportunities offered by the school.

# **Dimension 6: Funding and Resources**

### Criterion 6.1: Internal and/or external financing

### Standard:

"The centre has internal or external funding and it is allocated to SDG-related initiatives.

### Guidelines:

- The centre receives external funding for SDG-related initiatives.
- The centre has funding, other than external funding, earmarked for SDG-related initiatives.

### Evidence:

- The centre's budget.
- Conventions, agreements, contracts involving external financing.
- Documentary evidence of having allocated funds to SDG-related initiatives.

### Visit:

- Check with those responsible for the centre that sources of funding are available.
- To verify the use of funds in actions related to the SDGs.

### Criterion 6.2: Resources

### Standard:

"A capable and qualified team has been created at the centre to plan, implement and evaluate the initiatives set out in the SDG action plan".

### Guidelines:

• The centre has set up a team with the necessary powers to plan, implement and evaluate the initiatives set out in the SDG action plan.

ACPUA - University Quality and Foresight Agency of Aragon





- The people who form part of the team have the necessary dedication to attend to their functions.
- The people who form part of the team have the necessary qualifications to carry out their duties.
- The centre provides continuous training and updating for staff dedicated to these functions.

### Evidence:

- The organic rules of the constituted team.
- The roles and responsibilities of the team formed.
- The curricula vitae of the team members.
- Training/refresher training plans, courses or strategies for such staff.
- Conventions, agreements, contracts involving external financing.

### Visit:

• Check with the team in charge about their functioning, recognition of their work, training, training plan, etc.

# 3.2. Valoración cualitativa de los criterios

The evaluation panels will assess the school's level of commitment to each of the guidelines on a four-level scale.

- LEVEL OF EXCEPTIONAL COMMITMENT TO THE GUIDELINE (A): The documentation provides evidence related to all the aspects included in the guideline that allow the centre's commitment to compliance with the guideline to be assessed as exceptional. In this guideline, the centre can be considered a reference centre.
- FIRM COMMITMENT TO THE GUIDELINE (B): The documentation provides evidence related to the aspects included in the guideline that allow us to assess the centre's firm commitment to complying with the guideline.
- INITIATIVE COMMITMENT TO THE GUIDELINE (C): The documentation provides specific evidence related to some aspects included in the guideline that allow us to assess the centre's commitment to complying with the guideline in an initial state.
- NO EVIDENCE OF COMMITMENT TO THE GUIDELINE (D): There is no or inadequate evidence of the institute's commitment to comply with the guideline.

In order to transform the qualitative assessment into a numerical one and to be able to apply the weights indicated in the table above, the following numerical value will be given to each of the assessment levels.

- EXCEPTIONAL LEVEL OF COMMITMENT TO THE GUIDELINE (A) → 100 points
- FIRM COMMITMENT TO THE GUIDELINE (B) → 74 points
- INITIATIVE COMMITMENT TO THE GUIDELINE (C) → 49 points
- NO EVIDENCE OF COMMITMENT TO THE GUIDELINE (D) → o points

ACPUA - University Quality and Foresight Agency of Aragon





# 3.3. Tabla de puntuación de la certificación

Based on the qualitative scores awarded to each guideline by the assessment panel, and applying the percentage system indicated in the table below, the final percentage will be calculated to determine the centre's level of certification.

ACPUA - University Quality and Foresight Agency of Aragon

Avda. de Ranillas, nº 5D, 1ª Planta. 50018 Zaragoza Telephone: 976 713386 - <u>acpua@aragon.es</u>

enga. eqar/// WingAAHE



DIMENSION	%	CRITERIA	%	GUIDELINES	%	SUB-GUIDELINES	%							
		Criterion 1.1:		The school's commitment to the SDGs is set out in the following documents strategic objectives of the centre.	20%									
									Commitment and strategy of the centre	40%	The school's governance team defines the actions to develop the strategy (action plan) ensuring the participation of the different stakeholders, including the student body.	40%		
				The governing team and the centre's different stakeholders periodically review compliance with the actions defined.	40%									
				The establishment of strategic alliances is a commitment contained in the the centre's strategic documents.	20%									
						Joint projects funded	10%							
						Working groups or expert groups	10%							
Dimension 1: Strategy,	9/			The centre, through its strategic alliances, has established concrete actions, for example:	40%	Organisation of local, regional, national or international meetings international SDGs	25%							
partnerships and recognition	30%	1.2: Partners	40%			Volunteering opportunities for teachers and staff administration and services	25%							
		hips				Volunteer opportunities for the student body	25%							
						Other	5%							
				The centre monitors the concrete actions and evaluates their impact.	40%									
	Interna exter	Criterion 1.3: Internal and external recognition The staff, student body and/or the school	Staff, students and/or the institution (or university) have obtained an internal recognition through a good practice recognition programme or action, implemented by the institution (or university), related to the SDGS.	50%										
									recognition		The staff, student body and/or the school have obtained external recognition for by means of a certificate/award/project, distinction or accreditation	50%		
Dimension 2: Transparency and	15%	Criterion 2.1: Public	100 %	The centre is concerned about disseminating information related to the SDGs using the usual channels for information dissemination (PR, website, etc.), advertising material, etc.).	50%									
accountability		information		Information on the implementation of the SDGs is easily accessible.	50%									

ACPUA - University Quality and Foresight Agency of Aragon

Avda. de Ranillas, nº 5D, 1ª Planta. 50018 Zaragoza Telephone: 976 713386 - <u>acpua@aragon.es</u>





DIMENSION	%	CRITERIA	%	GUIDELINES	%	SUB-GUIDELINES	%												
					The quality policy includes the commitment to the SDGs.	20%													
								Internal Quality Assurance System objectives linked to the SDGs are established.	20%										
		Criterion 3.1: Quality processes and strategy 0%	80%	The IACS collects and analyses data to establish the degree of compliance with the objectives and the establishment of improvement plans, as well as the accountability of accounts.	40%														
Dimension 3: Internal Quality Assurance System	10%			The IQAS collects and analyses data on the satisfaction of the different stakeholders (students, teaching staff, graduates, employers, administrative and service staff, society, etc.) with the degree of compliance with the SDGs set out in the plan. strategic centre.	20%														
								Criterion 3.2: Personnel		The job descriptions (in the CVs of the persons in charge) and the selection processes of the personnel responsible for the IACS include value knowledge of the SDGs.	20%								
		responsible for the Internal Quality Assurance System	the Internal Quality Assurance	20%	There is a training and development plan, a training offer or specific training actions on SDGs for the staff responsible for the IACS.	60%													
					Professional appraisal processes (performance monitoring) take into account SDG competencies.	20%													
	25%	Criterion		The teaching strategy defined by the school is set out in a public document.	60%														
		4.1:	20%	The school has established concrete actions (aligned with the teaching strategy).	20%														
		: 25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	Teaching strategy		The centre monitors the actions defined, analysing their impact.	20%		
Dimension 4: Teaching														Critorion 4 2		Curricula incorporate learning outcomes related to the SDGs.	60%		
			Criterion 4.2: Studen centred learning. Learning	Studen centred learning. 80%	The teaching methodologies used to achieve SDG-related learning outcomes ensure student-centred learning.	20%													
			outcomes		Degrees develop assessment activities that allow students to provide feedback on their learning process in relation to the SDGs.	20%													

ACPUA - University Quality and Foresight Agency of Aragon

Avda. de Ranillas, nº 5D, 1ª Planta. 50018 Zaragoza Telephone: 976 713386 - <u>acpua@aragon.es</u>





DIMENSION	%	CRITERIA	%	GUIDELINES	%	SUB-GUIDELINES	%						
		Critorian 5.4		In the job descriptions of the job requirements of those responsible for the knowledge of the SDGs is required.	20%								
		Criterion 5.1: Responsible for training	Responsible for training	50%	There is a training and development plan, a training offer or specific training actions identified in the SDGs for those responsible for the training offer of the centre.	60%							
		provision		Professional appraisal processes (performance monitoring) take into account SDG competencies.	20%								
Dimension				In the job descriptions and selection processes for the teachers' knowledge of the SDGs is assessed.	20%								
Dimension 5: Personnel	10%	Criterion 5.2:	30%	There is a training and development plan, a training offer or specific training actions on SDGs for teachers.	60%								
	Criterion 5.	Teachers		Professional appraisal processes (performance monitoring) take into account SDG competencies.	20%								
			Criterion 5.3:	Criterion 5.3:	Criterion 5.3:	Criterion 5.3:	Criterion 5.3:	Criterion 5.3:	Criterion 5.3:		In job descriptions and staff selection processes knowledge of the SDGs is required.	20%	
		Administration and services staff	20%		There is a training and development plan, a training offer or specific training actions on SDGs for administration and services staff.	60%							
			staff	staff	staff	staff	staff		Professional appraisal processes (performance monitoring) take into account SDG competencies.	20%			
		Criterion 6.1: Internal	50%	The centre receives external funding which it allocates to initiatives related to the SDGS.	70%								
Dimension 6:	10%	10%			16:	and/or external financing external	50%	The centre has funding, other than external funding, earmarked for SDG-related initiatives.	30%				
Funding and Resources					The centre has set up a team with the necessary powers for the planning, implementation and evaluation of the initiatives included in the plan of action. action on the SDGs.	25%							
		Criterion	50%	The people who form part of the team have the necessary dedication to attend to their functions.	25%								
		6.2: Resources		The people who form part of the team have the necessary qualifications to carry out their duties.	25%								
				The centre provides continuous training and updating for staff dedicated to these functions.	25%								

ACPUA - University Quality and Foresight Agency of Aragon



 ${\tt Página}21$ 



LEVEL o	Without certificate	Scoring: 0-24% Score: 0-24	Incipient commitment to the
			Agenda 2030
LEVEL 1	BRONZE	Score: 25-49%.	On the road to the 2030 Agenda
LEVEL 2	SILVER	Score: 50-74%.	Commitment to Agenda 2030
LEVEL 3	GOLD		Flagship and reference centre international

# 3.4. Niveles de certificación para centros

# 3.5. Complemento a la certificación: ODS con especial compromiso

In its application, the school may identify up to 3 SDGs to which the school is particularly committed.

The panel will check, with the evidence provided, the centre's effective commitment to the SDGs stated in the self-report. These SDGs will be reflected in the final certificate.

# 4. EVALUATION PROCESS

# 4.1. Requisitos para la certificación

The condition for applying for this programme is that higher education institutions are accredited by an EQAR-registered Quality Assurance Agency through an ESG (European Standards and Guidelines) alignment procedure.

Centres or universities wishing to apply for this programme must have an Internal Quality Assurance System in place, certified with any recognised system (PACE-SIGC programme of the ACPUA, AUDIT programme of ANECA, ISO 9001:2015 standard, EFQM model, etc.) or have an Institutional Accreditation granted by an Agency registered in EQAR.

# 4.2. Solicitud de certificación.

The institution that wishes to obtain its "Centre 2030" certificate and fulfils the requirements set out in the previous section must send a written application, with the prior approval of the legal representative, addressed to the Agency's management. B°. of the legal representative of the institution, addressed to the Agency's management, including the Self-Assessment Report in accordance with Annex I and the current certificate of the implementation of its IACS or certificate of institutional accreditation.

# 4.3. Documentación en la que se basa la evaluación.

The ACPUA will base its assessment on the following documentation:

• Certificate of IACS implementation / certificate of institutional accreditation.

ACPUA - University Quality and Foresight Agency of Aragon

Avda. de Ranillas, nº 5D, 1ª Planta. 50018 Zaragoza Telephone: 976 713386 - <u>acpua@aragon.es</u>





- IACS documentation.
- Evidence supporting the assessment made in the self-report on each of the criteria.
- The self-report according to Annex I.

# 4.4. Nombramiento y recusación del panel de evaluadores

The ACPUA's Evaluation, Certification and Accreditation Commission (CECA) shall propose the members of the evaluation panel, who shall be appointed by the Agency's Management. This appointment shall be communicated to the centre, which shall have ten days to make a reasoned objection to the panel.

At the end of the objection period, the composition of the panel shall be made public on the Agency's website.

In case the evaluators do not have experience in the evaluation of "Centres 2030", neither with the ACPUA nor with other evaluation agencies, they will be provided with the necessary theoretical and practical training.

# 4.5. Evaluación

The panel of evaluators will examine the documentation submitted by the centre by checking its effectiveness in two ways:

- Analysis and evaluation of the documentation provided by the university (self-report and evidence).
- A visit to the centre by the evaluation panel which, in agreement with the Agency, will
  propose the stakeholders to be interviewed and, together with the centre, will design
  the specific agenda for the visit. The ACPUA will provide them with an evaluation
  template that will allow them to organise the information gathered during the visit. The
  chairperson of the panel will prepare a report of the visit which will be agreed by all the
  members of the panel of experts.

# 4.6. Propuesta de informe

The visit report, together with all the previous information available, will be submitted to the corresponding ACPUA Evaluation Commission, which will draw up the report proposal to be sent to the university.

This report proposal will include a quantitative and qualitative assessment of each of the criteria and also a proposal for a final score and thus certification level.

This proposed report may include recommendations for improvement of the score obtained. It may also point out good practices.

# 4.7. Fase de alegaciones

The proposed report shall be sent to the university so that it may submit its observations within 10 days.





# 4.8. Informe final

The allegations will be studied by the ACPUA Evaluation Commission, which may ask the evaluation panel to review them before issuing the final report. This report will contain an overall score that will determine the level of certification of the centre.

# 4.9. Plazo de resolución

The Agency shall have a maximum of 9 months to issue the corresponding certification.

# 4.10. Publicación de los informes

The corresponding evaluation reports issued will be published on the ACPUA website and in the European DEQAR Register database.

# 4.11. Metaevaluación del proceso

The ACPUA will close the evaluation process by collecting information about the satisfaction of those involved in the process.

The information gathered and the results of the evaluation will be sent to theCECA (Evaluation, Certification and Accreditation Commission) to close the Meta-evaluation process, proposing, if necessary, improvements on the ALCAEUS Programme, which will be conveniently included in this document and published on the website.

# 4.12. Reclamaciones y recursos

Of any complaint or appeal associated with this evaluation process that ACPUA becomes aware of, the management will refer it to the Agency's Guarantee Committee for its knowledge and effects.

Information related to the Guarantees Committee and the Procedure for handling appeals, complaints and claims is available on the ACPUA website.

# 5. MONITORING OF CERTIFICATION

The centres will send a follow-up report on the recommendations made in the report that awarded the label.

This report will include any modifications, progress, improvements or changes and will be assessed by the Agency's Monitoring Committee and the relevant Evaluation Commission.

The evaluation of the monitoring report will reflect the centre's trend in relation to the score obtained in the certification, and may include recommendations for improvement.

Such a review, if it detects significant changes, may lead to an invitation to the institution to submit a new application.

ACPUA - University Quality and Foresight Agency of Aragon





# 6. RENEWAL OF CERTIFICATION

The certification will be valid for six years.

Nine months before the end of the certification period, the institution may apply for assessment for the renewal of the certification.

This re-evaluation will be carried out in accordance with section 4 of this framework document, and will be based on the progress made by the institution in relation to each of the evaluation criteria.

The new certificate will reflect the new score obtained and, consequently, the new certification level of the centre.

# Document revision history

Revision No.	Date	Remarks				
V_0.0	15/10/2019	Referral to external experts and universities				
V_1.0	11/03/2020	Approval by ECSC				
V_2.0 17/07/2020 General overhaul and insertion of the new ENQA logo.						
V_3.0	24/06/2022	<ul> <li>After the pilot programme:</li> <li>The programme is defined for schools only</li> <li>Addendum to certification (SDGs of particular commitment) is added</li> <li>Criteria weights are adjusted</li> <li>Dimension 4 is simplified</li> <li>Simplification of documentation, new self-reporting model.</li> </ul>				

 $P_{4gina}25$ 





# ANNEX I: Self-report on the implementation of the IACS.

# Instructions for preparing the self-assessment report

The institution shall prepare a document in which for each criterion the quantitative (A/B/C/D - section 3.2. Qualitative assessment of criteria in this document) and qualitative self-assessment of each guideline is included.

The qualitative assessment should be a critical evaluation of the degree of compliance with the guideline, supported by documentary evidence (which may include those identified in the protocol or others, specific to the centre, that serve to corroborate the centre's self-assessment).

The evidence to be included must be accessible to the panel, either through links to public documents (e.g. information available on websites), access to private repositories of documentation (in this case the necessary access details should be provided) or annexes to the self-report.





# Self-report

# Dimension 1: Strategy, partnerships and recognition

# Criterion 1.1: Commitment and strategy of the centre

### Standard:

"The institution is committed to the SDGs. The school defines actions, which it reviews periodically, for the incorporation of the SDGs in its governance, involving the different stakeholders".

# Assessment by guideline:

# Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D]

The school's commitment to the SDGs is set out in the following documents strategic objectives of the centre.	
The school's governance team defines the actions to develop the strategy (action plan) ensuring the participation of the different stakeholders, including the student body.	
The Governing Board and the different stakeholders of the centre review periodically the fulfilment of the defined actions.	

# **Qualitative evidence-based assessment**





### Criterion 1.2: Partnerships

### Standard:

"The centre establishes strategic alliances with external actors (higher education institutions, research institutions, non-profit organisations, companies, etc.) at local, regional, national or international level that are useful and effective in supporting the integration of the SDGs at the centre.

# Assessment by guideline:

# Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D]

The establishment of strategic alliances is a commitment contained in the the centre's strategic documents.	
The centre, through its consolidated partnerships, has established concrete actions.	
The establishment of strategic alliances is a commitment contained in the	
the centre's strategic documents.	

### **Qualitative evidence-based assessment**





#### Criterion 1.3: Internal and external recognition

#### Standard:

"The actions carried out in the implementation of the SDGs are distinguished through internal and external recognition (programmes, specific actions, certifications, awards, projects, accreditations, distinctions, etc.) internal and/or external".

#### Assessment by guideline:

Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D]

Staff, students and/or the institution (or university) have obtained an internal recognition through a good practice recognition programme or action, implemented by the institution (or university), related to the SDGS.

The staff, student body and/or the school have obtained external recognition to through a certificate/award/project, distinction or accreditation.

### **Qualitative evidence-based assessment**





# Dimension 2: Transparency and accountability

### Criterion 2.1: Public information

### Standard:

"The centre publishes accurate, complete, up-to-date and accessible information on the implementation of the SDGs.

# Assessment by guideline:

# Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D]

The centre is concerned about disseminating information related to the SDGs using the usual channels of information dissemination (PR, website, etc.),	
advertising material, etc.).	
Information on the implementation of the SDGs is easily accessible.	

### **Qualitative evidence-based assessment**





# Dimension 3: Internal Quality Assurance System

### Criterion 3.1: Quality processes and strategy

### Standard:

"The school's Internal Quality Assurance System integrates the vision of the SDGs as a central commitment".

# Assessment by guideline:

Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D]

The quality policy includes the commitment to the SDGs.	
Internal Quality Assurance System objectives linked to the SDGs are established.	
The IACS collects and analyses data to establish the degree of fulfilment of objectives	
and the establishment of improvement plans, as well as the accountability of	
accounts.	
The IQAS collects and analyses data on the satisfaction of the different stakeholders	
(students, teaching staff, graduates, employers, administrative and service staff,	
society, etc.) with the degree of fulfilment of the SDGs.	
set out in the centre's strategic plan.	

### **Qualitative evidence-based assessment**





Criterion 3.2: Personnel responsible for the Internal Quality Assurance System

### Standard:

"The staff responsible for the Internal Quality Assurance System is trained in the integration of the SDGs in their work development".

### Assessment by guideline:

Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D]

The job descriptions (in the CVs of the responsible persons) and the selection	
processes of the personnel responsible for the IACS include value knowledge of the SDGs.	
There is a training and development plan, a training offer or training activities ODS-specific training for IACS staff responsible for IACS.	
In performance appraisal processes (performance monitoring)	
SDG-related competences are taken into account.	

# **Qualitative evidence-based assessment**





# Dimension 4: Teaching

# Criterion 4.1: Teaching strategy

### Standard:

The school has implemented a teaching strategy aimed at equipping students with the knowledge, skills and competences to understand and address the SDGs.

# Assessment by guideline:

Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D]

The teaching strategy defined by the centre is set out in a document public.	
The school has established concrete actions (aligned with the teaching strategy).	
The centre monitors the actions defined, analysing the impact of the actions and the	
same.	

# **Qualitative evidence-based assessment**





Criterion 4.2: Student centred learning. Learning outcomes

### Standard:

Curricula integrate learning outcomes (knowledge, competences and skills) related to the SDGs into their design by ensuring that teaching methodologies enable student-centred learning.

### Assessment by guideline:

Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D]

The curricula incorporate learning outcomes related to the SDGS.	
The teaching methodologies used to achieve the SDG-related learning outcomes ensure student-centred, student-led and student-driven learning. learning").	
The degree programmes develop assessment activities that enable students to provide feedback on their learning process in relation to the SDGs.	

# **Qualitative evidence-based assessment**





# **Dimension 5: Personnel**

### Criterion 5.1: Responsible for training provision

### Standard:

"Those responsible for the centre's training offer are trained in the integration of the SDGs in their professional development".

### Assessment by guideline:

# Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D]

In the job descriptions of the job requirements of those responsible for The school's training offer requires knowledge of the SDGs.	
There is a training and development plan, a training offer or specific training actions identified in the SDGs for those responsible for the training offer in the centre.	
In performance appraisal processes (performance monitoring) SDG-related competences are taken into account.	

# **Qualitative evidence-based assessment**





### Criterion 5.2: Teachers

### Standard:

"The school's teaching staff is trained in the integration of the SDGs in the development of teaching activities".

### Assessment by guideline:

Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D]

In the job descriptions and selection processes for the teachers' knowledge of the SDGs is assessed.	
There is a training and development plan, a training offer or training activities	
ODS for teachers. In performance appraisal processes (performance monitoring)	
SDG-related competences are taken into account.	

# **Qualitative evidence-based assessment**





### Criterion 5.3: Administrative and service staff

### Standard:

"The centre's administrative and service staff are trained in the integration of the SDGs in their work development".

### Assessment by guideline:

Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D]

In the job descriptions and selection processes for the	
knowledge of the SDGs is required for administrative and service staff.	
There is a training and development plan, a training offer or training activities	
ODS for administration and services staff.	
In performance appraisal processes (performance monitoring)	
SDG-related competences are taken into account.	

### **Qualitative evidence-based assessment**





# Dimension 6: Funding and Resources

### Criterion 6.1: Internal and/or external funding

### Standard:

"The centre has internal or external funding and it is allocated to SDG-related initiatives".

### Assessment by guideline:

Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D]

The centre receives external funding which it allocates to initiatives related to the SDGS.	
The centre has funding, other than external funding, earmarked for SDG-related initiatives.	

# **Qualitative evidence-based assessment**

[Write a separate paragraph for each of the guidelines below, indicating the evidence on which the assessment is based].

ACPUA - University Quality and Foresight Agency of Aragon





### Criterion 6.2: Resources

### Standard:

"A capable and qualified team has been created at the centre to plan, implement and evaluate the initiatives set out in the SDG action plan".

### Assessment by guideline:

Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D] [Value A/B/C/D]

The centre has set up a team with the necessary powers for the planning, implementation and evaluation of the initiatives included in the plan of action. action on the SDGs.	
The people who form part of the team have the necessary dedication to to fulfil their functions.	
The people on the team have the necessary qualifications. to attend to their duties.	
The centre provides continuous training and updating for dedicated staff. to these functions.	

# **Qualitative evidence-based assessment**

